UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

	RAGARD PRODUCTS Y LITIGATION	: MDL <u>DOCKET NO. 2974</u> :
This docum	nent relates to:	: 1:20-md-02974-LMM
YANILEC (CABRERA	: : Civil Action No.:
VS.		: :
TEVA PH. USA, INC.	ARMACEUTICALS ., ET AL.	· : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
	SHORT FORM	COMPLAINT
Come	e(s) now the Plaintiff(s) name	ned below, and for her/their Complaint
against the	Defendant(s) named below, inc	corporate(s) the Second Amended Master
Personal In	njury Complaint (<u>Doc. No.</u>	79), in MDL No. 2974 by reference.
Plaintiff(s)	further plead(s) as follows:	
1.	Name of Plaintiff placed with	Paragard: Yanilec Cabrera
2.	Name of Plaintiff's Spouse (i	f a party to the case): N/a

State of	Residence of each Plaintiff (including any Plaint
represent complair	ative capacity) at time of filing of Plaintiff's t: Florida
	Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard pla
Florida	
State of Florida	Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard ren
Florida	
Florida	
Florida District would b	Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and e proper:
Florida District would b	Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and

in a Short Form Complaint.):

Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a defendant

/	A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
~	B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
'	C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
~	D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
~	E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
9.	Basis of Jurisdiction
✓	Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
	Other (if Other, identify below):
10.	

Date(s) Plaintiff	Placing	Date Plaintiff's	Removal
had Paragard	Physician(s) or	Paragard was Removed	Physician(s) or other
placed	other Health Care	(DD/MM/YYYY)*	Health Care Provider
(DD/MM/YYYY)	Provider (include City and State)	*If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list date of each separately.	(include City and State)** **If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list information separately.
08/2011	Dr. Max Kamerman Naples, FL	01/15/2021	Dr. Richard Strathmann Naples, FL
		2/3/2021	Dr. Richard Strathmann Naples, FL

Page 4 of 7

Case 1:25-cv-00488-LMM

Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn

Coun	t IX – Negligent Misrepresentation
Coun	t X – Breach of Express Warranty
Coun	t XI – Breach of Implied Warranty
Coun	t XII – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws
Coun	t XIII – Gross Negligence
Coun	t XIV – Unjust Enrichment
Coun	t XV – Punitive Damages
Count XVI – Loss of Consortium	
	Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims
	in the Master Complaint below):
"Tolli	ing/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:
	ing/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?
a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?
	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes
a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No
a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond
a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond the facts alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts
a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond
	Count Count Count Count Count Other

She contacted her lawyers after learning she might have a claim.

16.		nt VII (Fraud & Deceit) and Count VIII (Fraud by Omission)
	alleg	ations:
	a.	Is Plaintiff is bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud &
		Deceit), Count VIII (Fraud by Omission), and/or any other claim
		for fraud or misrepresentation?
	•	Yes
		No
	b.	If Yes, the following information must be provided (in
		accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9,
		and/or with pleading requirements applicable to Plaintiff's state
		law claims):
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false: Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective.
		Paragard was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control products on the market.
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement: Defendants.
	iii.	To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her
		healthcare provider who implanted Paragard.
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was allegedly made: Defendants' statements are within the Paragard label and marketing
		materials at all relevant times prior to implantation.
17.	If Pla	aintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging
-		beyond those contained in the Master Complaint, the following
		mation must be provided:
	111101	mation must be provided.

a.

Paragard? N/a

What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her

Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is
alleged in the Master Complaint: N/a
Jury Demand:
Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count

s/Nicole Berg

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

Nicole Berg (IL Bar #6305464)
Ashley Barriere (LA Bar #38129)
Keller Postman, LLC
150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 4100
Chicago, IL 60606
312-741-5220
ncb@kellerpostman.com
ashley.barriere@kellerpostman.com

Laura V. Yaeger (FL Bar #101972) (TX Bar #24011432) Yaeger Law, PLLC 4905 34th St S, Suite 310 Saint Petersburg, FL 33747 (727) 202-5015 laura@yourlegalcounsel.net